ADVERTISEMENT

OPINION

Opinion: House Republicans now have a way to shut down programs they don't like. Will they use it?



Members-elect attend a new House member orientation in the Capitol Visitor Center Auditorium on Capitol Hill in November 2022. (Kent Nishimura / Los Angeles Times)



The slim Republican majority in the House of Representatives <u>recently voted</u> to give itself a streamlined way to fire civil servants and shut down federal programs it doesn't like — outside the standard process of review and debate.

This method, known as the <u>Holman rule</u>, has been used in the past to push extreme political agendas through the political process without due consideration for the public interest. And it's likely to happen again.

The rule, named after a Democratic Indiana congressman who <u>developed it in 1876</u>, allows House members to transform the normal process of compiling appropriation bills into weapons <u>to fire government employees and shut down programs</u> they don't like.

**ADVERTISEMENT** 

Anything is ripe for cutting with the Holman rule, including environmental protection agencies, human rights programs and programs for addressing sales of semiautomatic weapons.



OPINION

## Calmes: Here's what we should do about Marjorie Taylor Greene

March 2, 2023

Rep. Kat Cammack (R-Fla.), during the House debate, <u>attacked federal officials</u> as "unelected bureaucrats, the true, real swamp creatures here in D.C." She also noted, "Today marks our first move, and certainly not our last, to hold them accountable."

Normally, cuts to staff or programs would have to go <u>through an extensive review process</u>, either to restructure or abolish programs.

That process includes drafting of a full-scale bill, subcommittee and full committee hearings and debates, testimony and evidence presented by the president's administration, press coverage of these steps and adjustment of Congress members' positions in light of that coverage.

Then, votes are held on edits to the bill, known as markups, after which there is a separate committee vote on reporting out the bill to the full House, the drafting of committee report sections by supporters and opponents — and even more after that.

But Holman sidesteps that entire process.

It allows provisions for altering or abolishing programs to be made a part of appropriation bills, as long as the provision putatively saves money. Under Holman, individual House members offer amendments during full House consideration of a bill on the floor. As long as those amendments <u>cut spending</u>, they are considered proper.



**OPINION** 

Op-Ed: House Republicans' first order of business: Balloon the \$31-trillion national debt

Jan. 10, 2023

For example, the <u>Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 created a program</u> to improve the technology infrastructure of the Internal Revenue Service and to hire more auditors to focus on corporations and wealthy people. Estimates are that the program <u>will cost \$80 billion over a decade</u>. Any proposed changes to that program in legislation might well get bogged down in debate.

But under Holman, a critic of the program could just drop a change into the must-pass part of the appropriations bill that contains spending for Treasury Department operations and kill or alter the program. No one could stop that from happening unless they chose to vote against the entire appropriations bill, which funds that whole department of the government.

Similarly, the Environmental Protection Agency proposes to strengthen regulations limiting the oil and gas industry's methane emissions, a potent greenhouse gas. A House critic of the methane-control program could just pop into the appropriations bill containing spending for the EPA a Holman provision that either cuts the salary of the program director to \$1 or terminates the program altogether.

As Jacqueline Simon, public policy director of the American Federation of Government Employees, <u>says</u>, this reckless rule "goes around everything that protects the civil service from political corruption — not just federal employees but entire agencies. It is precisely for theater and to create chaos and disrupt the operation of federal agencies, including law enforcement agencies."

The rule was abandoned in 1983, but the Republicans brought it <u>back in 2017</u>. When Democrats took over the House in <u>2019</u>, <u>they again dropped it</u>.

In one notable case in 2017, House Republicans were <u>angry about evaluations of proposed legislation by</u> the <u>staff of the nonpartisan budget analysis division</u> of the Congressional Budget Office. So they used the Holman rule to try to abolish that division and move its employees to another part of that agency.



OPINION

Opinion: House Republicans launched their big investigations this week. Guess how that went

Feb. 10, 2023

The vote to eliminate the division failed, but, significantly, the use of <u>the rule was promoted by the Freedom Caucus</u>, <u>the same faction</u> of the GOP advocating for using the rule now.

There are several scenarios in the current Congress when the Holman rule could come into play — with deeply problematic results. For instance, GOP leaders may need to unify their party to pass controversial appropriation bills. Allowing members on the extreme fringe to propose Holman amendments to cut or wipe out programs may be necessary to get their votes.

Should the House pass a spending bill with a Holman provision, that bill may not necessarily be blocked by the Senate. An attempt by the Senate Democratic majority to kill a House-passed provision <u>would need 60 votes to move ahead</u>. That would require votes from at least nine Republican senators, which may not be possible.

An appropriations bill that contains Holman provisions would go to a conference committee, formed to reconcile differences in legislation passed by both chambers. Senate Democrats might be forced to go along with objectionable Holman provisions to get buy-in from all the House factions to pass the conference bill and avoid a government shutdown.

Which brings us full circle to where the Holman rule started — with the Southern Democrats trying to repeal Reconstruction-era laws and get those changes past President Ulysses S. Grant.

If appropriation bills loaded with awful Holman provisions reach his desk, would President Biden veto them?

Charles Tiefer is a professor of law at the University of Baltimore School of Law and was general counsel of the House of Representatives. This article was produced in partnership with <u>the Conversation</u>.

OPINION OP-ED



## **Get Group Therapy**

Life is stressful. Our weekly mental wellness newsletter can help.

Enter email address

S	SIGN ME UP	
You may occasionally receive promotional content from the Los Angeles Times.		
MORE FROM THE LOS ANGELES TIMES		



**OPINION** 

Opinion: What elephant seals taught me about parenting my adult son with psychosis March 6, 2023



OPINION

Column: Suddenly, 'I flunked algebra' is a ticket to success

March 6, 2023



LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Letters to the Editor: 'Sister Aimee' and her Angelus Temple still leave their mark on L.A.

March 6, 2023



LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Letters to the Editor: Maurice Hastings is the victim of a system that demands convictions more than truth

March 6, 2023

SUBSCRIBERS ARE READING >		
FOR SUBSCRIBERS		
19 cafes that make L.A. a world-class coffee destination		
FOR SUBSCRIBERS		
Hidden illegal casinos are booming in L.A., with organized crime reaping big profits		

David Lindley, guitarist best known for work with Jackson Browne, dies at 78

Officials unprepared for epic mountain blizzard, leaving many trapped and desperate

## FOR SUBSCRIBERS

Look up: The 32 most spectacular ceilings in Los Angeles

**ADVERTISEMENT** 

OPINION >



Opinion: What elephant seals taught me about parenting my adult son with psychosis

Editorial: Abortion opponents are trying to revoke FDA approval of a drug. That's scary

Endorsement: Marco Santana for Council District 6		
Opinion: One surprising source of hope for climate change? Fiction		
Subscribe for unlimited access		
Site Map		
Follow Us		



eNewspaper

Find/Post Jobs

Place an Ad

Coupons

Media Kit: Why the L. A. Times?

Bestcovery

Crossword

Obituaries

Recipes

L.A. Times Compare

L.A. Times Store

Wine Club

About/Contact

For the Record

L.A. Times Careers

Manage Subscription

Reprints and Permissions

Site Map

Copyright © 2023, Los Angeles Times | Terms of Service | Privacy Policy | CA Notice of Collection | Do Not Sell or Share My Personal Information